An End-to-End Automatic Cloud Database Tuning System Using Deep Reinforcement Learning

연세대학교 컴퓨터과학과 김휘군



과제명: loT 환경을 위한 고성능 플래시 메모리 스토리지 기반 인메모리 분산 DBMS 연구개발

과제번호: 2017-0-00477







## 목록

- 1. Introduction
- 2. System Overview
  - 1) Offline Training
  - 2) Online Tuning
- 3. Reinforcement Learning
  - 1) DDPG
  - 2) Agent, Environment, State, Reward, Action
- 4. Experiment
  - 1) Setup
  - 2) Result and Graph
- 5. Git Code 실행
- 6. Appendix

## 1. Introduction

## **Introduction - Problem**

- ✓ DBMS: Database management system (Mysql, RocksDB, Redis)
- ✓ DBA: Database administrator
- ✓ CDB: Cloud database



 $\checkmark\,$  Every user needs to the database for better performance

## **Introduction - Solution**

- ✓ DBMS configuration tuning:
  - Search-based methods (BestConfig)
    - Tuning based on certain principles
    - Limitations
      - 1. Spend a great amount of time
      - 2. Does not use previous knowledges
  - Learning-based methods (OtterTune)
    - Use machine-learning techniques to tuning
    - Limitations
      - 1. Pipelined learning model  $\rightarrow$  not in an end-to-end manner
      - 2. Rely on large-scale high-quality training samples
      - 3. A large number of knobs  $\rightarrow$  high-dimensional continuous space
      - 4. Users change the hardware configurations often



## **CDBTune**

- ✓ An end-to-end automatic cloud database tuning system CDBTune using deep reinforcement learning
  - 1. End-to-end automatic database tuning system
  - 2. Try-and-error manner with a limited number of samples
  - 3. An effective reward function
  - 4. Use DDPG to find optimal configurations in high-dimensional continuous space
  - 5. A good adaptability (different workload, hardware)

# 2. System Overview

## **System Overview**

- ✔ CDBTune 의 큰 두개의 과정
  - ✓ Offline Training
    - ✓ train.py 파일 → Sysbench 로 workload 실행
  - $\checkmark\,$  Online Tuning
    - ✓ evaluate.py → Sysbench 로 workload 실행
- ✓ CDBTune for ADDB ???
  - ✓ Offline Training
    - ✓ train.py 파일 → db\_bench (RocksDB) or memtier bench (Redis) 로 workload 실행
  - $\checkmark\,$  Online Tuning
    - ✓ evaluate.py → db\_bench (RocksDB) or memtier bench (Redis) 로 workload 실행

## **CDBTune**

✓ MySQL, MongoDB, PostgreSQL에 적용

✓ TencentDB for Redis 있음

✓ TencentDB for RocksDB 없음

🔗 Tencent Cloud		Search	Q 🔇 Intl-English - Console
Products   Solutions   Pricing	Documentation - Support - Partners Customer Success	; E\ >	G Contact Us Log In Sign up
Compute Storage Database TencentDB Container	Search all products TencentDB for MySQL A reliable, scalable database hosting service with excellent performance TDSQL for MySQL	Q 2	Recommended Links Serverless Cloud Function now available in Frankfurt region 2021-03-17
CDN & Acceleration Serverless Domains & Websites Networking	A high performing shard-enabled distributed database highly compatible TencentDB for DBbrain A cloud database autonomous service for database performance, securi eptimization TencentDB for Redis A Redis-compatible elastic caching and storage service	with MySQL	Tencent Cloud Supports Japan's Cloud Gaming Platform "OOParts" to Win the Game 2021-03-15
Management Tools Big Data Middleware Communication	TencentDB for MongoDB A stable, secure and high-performance document database TencentDB for MariaDB Financial-grade, community-driven, and open-source database based on	TDSQL	Tencent Placed in Gartner 2021 Magic Quadrant for Cloud Al Developer Services 2021-03-09
Internet of Things AI Video Services	A database-orientated data migration, cross-instance data synchronizati data update subscription service TencentDB for PostgreSQL A powerful database ideal for handling complex SQL processing in OLTP	on and incremental	
Developer Tools Game Services	TencentDB for TcaplusDB A high-performance distributed NoSQL data storage service TencentDB for Tendic		

## **System Overview - Offline Training**

- ✓ Training data 를 통하여 model 을 pre-training
- ✓ Training data:
  - Quadruple: <q, a, s, r>
    - q: a set of query workload (i.e., SQL queries)
    - *a:* a set of knobs as well as their values when processing *q*
    - *s:* the database state (which is a set of 63 metrics) when processing *q*
    - *r:* the performance when processing *q* (including throughput and latency)
  - > Collected metrics and knobs data will be stored in the **memory pool**
- ✓ Training model:
  - Deep RL as the training model
    - Try-and-error strategy → local optimum에 빠질 확률 낮춤Offline Training (train.py)

## **System Overview - Offline Training**

- $\checkmark$  Training data generation:
  - 1. Cold start:
    - Use standard workload testing tools (i.e., Sysbench) to generate a set of query workloads q
    - For each *q*, execute it on CDB and get the quadruple
  - 2. Incremental training:
    - 추후 CDBTune 사용함에 따라, 사용자의 tuning request도 하나의 experience 로 간주하여, CDBTune 을 강화하고 정확도를 높여준다

## **System Overview - Online Tuning**

✔ 과정:

- 1. 150s 동안 user의 query workload q 수집.
- 2. Get current knob configuration a
- 3. Execute the query workload in CDB to generate the current state *s* and performance *r*
- 4. Offline training 에서 얻은 model로 online tuning을 실행
- 5. Best performance를 가져온 knobs 를 user에게 추천
- 6. Update the RL model / memory pool
- ✓ Online tuning과 Offline training 차이점:
  - 1. Replay the user's current workload  $\rightarrow$  fine-tune the pre-trained model
  - 2. User의 요구하는 성능 도달 or maximum step에 도달하면 tuning 이 끝난다

- ✓ Workload generator
  - Generating the standard workload testing
    - 초반에 데이터가 적으므로, Sysbench / TPC-MySQL과 같은 standard workload testing tool과 RL의 tryand-error 방법을 사용하여 simulated data 생성 → A standard (pre-training) model 생성
  - Replaying the current user's real workload
    - 데이터가 어느정도 쌓이면 replay mechanism을 사용하여 일정 시간의 user's SQL records 를 동일한 환경에서 execute 하여 user의 real behavior data를 저장한다 → 추후 model의 정확성 제고



Figure 2: System Architecture.

- ✓ Metrics Collector : collect and process metrics
  - Internal metrics: 14 state values + 49 cumulative values (Mysql)
    - State : buffer size, page size

Average value in a certain time interval

Cumulative : data reads, lock timeouts, buffer pool in pages, buffer pool read/write requests
 Difference between cumulative value at the same time



Figure 2: System Architecture.

- ✓ Metrics Collector : collect and process metrics
  - External metrics (latency and throughput)
    - Calculate the mean value of sampled result in 5 seconds



Figure 2: System Architecture.

- $\checkmark \text{ Recommender}$ 
  - ▶ RL model의 output 을 받아서 해당 configuration을 user에게 configuration modify request 를 보냄
  - ➢ User의 confirm 을 받은 후, CDB에 해당 configuration을 적용



Figure 2: System Architecture.

- ✓ Memory Pool
  - Store the training samples
  - ≻ Experience Sample:  $(s_t, r_t, a_t, s_{t+1}) \rightarrow A$  transition
    - *s<sub>t</sub>*: The state of the current database
    - $r_t$ : The reward value calculated by reward function via external metrics
    - *a<sub>t</sub>*: Knobs of the database to be executed
    - $s_{t+1}$ : The database's state vector after executing the configurations



Figure 2: System Architecture.

# 3. Reinforcement Learning

## **RL notation in CDBTune**

Variables	Descriptions	Mapping to CDBTune		
S	State	Internal metrics of DBMS		
a	Action	Tunable knobs of DBMS		
r	Reward	The performance of DBMS		
α	Learning rate	Set to 0.001		
γ	Discount factor	Set to 0.99		
ω	The weights of neural network	Initialized to Uniform(-0.1,0.1)		
E	Environment the tuning target	An instance of CDB		
μ	Policy	Deep neural network		
$\theta^Q$	Learnable parameters	Initialized to Normal(0,0.01)		
$\theta^{\mu}$	Actor, mapping state $s_t$ to action $a_t$	-		
$Q^{\mu}$	Critic, the policy $\mu$	-		
L	Loss function	-		
У	Q value label through Q-learning algorithm	-		

## **RL for CDBTune**

- Agent
  - CDBTune
- Environment
  - An instance of CDBTune (MySQL)
- State
  - Agent state (63 metrics)
    - 14 state values + 49 cumulative values
      - State : buffer size, page size
      - Cumulative : data reads, lock timeouts, buffer pool in pages, buffer pool read/write requests
- Reward
  - The difference between the performance at time t and t-1 or the initial settings (later)
- Action
  - Knob tuning operation
- Policy
  - The behavior of CDBTune in certain specific time and environment



## Why Using RL?

✓ Search-based approach and the multistep learning의 한계를 해결하기 위해
 ✓ 가능한 제한된 sample을 가지고 학습하는 것.

### **Q-learning**

### DQN

적으로 증가함

## **Deep Deterministic Policy Gradient(DDPG)**



Continuous action space를 가진 문제에서 Actor-Critic 방법이 더 좋다!



Action에 대한 적분을 수행하지 않아 계산상 이득을 본다.

**DDPG** = PG(Continuous Action Space) + DQN(Experience Replay)

- Model Free & Off Policy, Actor Critic Algorithm



Figure 4: DDPG for CDBTune.

Actor function :  $a_t = \mu(s_t | \theta^{\mu})$ Critic function :  $Q^{\mu}(s, a) = \mathbb{E}_{r_t, s_{t+1} \sim E} [r(s_t, a_t) + \gamma Q^{\mu}(s_{t+1}, \mu(s_{t+1}))] \longrightarrow Q^{\mu}(s, a | \theta^Q)$ 

Parameterized by  $\theta^{Q}$ 

**Q-leaning (In critic network)** 

$$\min L(\theta^Q) = \mathbb{E}[(Q(s, a | \theta^Q) - y)^2]$$
$$y = r(s_t, a_t) + \gamma Q^{\mu}(s_{t+1}, \mu(s_{t+1}) | \theta^Q)$$

Actor function :  $a_t = \mu(s_t | \theta^{\mu})$ Critic function :  $Q^{\mu}(s, a) = \mathbb{E}_{r_t, s_{t+1} \sim E} [r(s_t, a_t) + \gamma Q^{\mu} (s_{t+1}, \mu(s_{t+1}))]$ 

Q-leaning (In critic network)

$$\min L(\theta^Q) = \mathbb{E}[(Q(s, a|\theta^Q) - y)^2]$$
$$y = r(s_t, a_t) + \gamma Q^{\mu}(s_{t+1}, \mu(s_{t+1})|\theta^Q)$$

**Policy Gradient (In actor network)** 

$$\begin{split} \nabla_{\theta}{}^{\mu}J &\approx \mathbb{E}[\nabla_{\theta}{}^{\mu}Q(s,a|\theta^{Q}) \Big|_{s=s_{t},a=\mu(s_{t})}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\nabla_{a}Q(s,a|\theta^{Q}) \Big|_{s=s_{t},a=\mu(s_{t})} \nabla_{\theta}{}^{\mu}\mu(s|\theta^{\mu}) \Big|_{s=s_{t}}] \end{split}$$



**Step 1.** We first extract a batch of transition  $(s_t, r_t, a_t, s_{t+1})$  from the experience replay memory



**Step 1.** We first extract a batch of transition  $(s_t, r_t, a_t, s_{t+1})$  from the experience replay memory

**Step 2.** We feed  $s_{t+1}$  to the actor network and output the knob settings  $a'_{t+1}$  to be executed at next moment



**Step 1.** We first extract a batch of transition  $(s_t, r_t, a_t, s_{t+1})$  from the experience replay memory

**Step 2.** We feed  $s_{t+1}$  to the actor network and output the knob settings  $a'_{t+1}$  to be executed at next moment

**Step 3.** We get the value (score)  $V_{t+1}$  after sending  $s_{t+1}$  and  $a'_{t+1}$  to the critic network



**Step 4.** According to Q-Learning algorithm,  $V_{t+1}$  is multiplied by discount factor  $\gamma$  and added by the value of reward at time *t*, and now we can estimate the value of  $V'_t$  of the current state  $s_t$ .



**Step 4.** According to Q-Learning algorithm,  $V_{t+1}$  is multiplied by discount factor  $\gamma$  and added by the value of reward at time *t*, and now we can estimate the value of  $V'_t$  of the current state  $s_t$ .

**Step 5.** We feed  $s_t$  (obtained at the first step) to the critic network and further acquire the value  $V_t$  of the current state



**Step 4.** According to Q-Learning algorithm,  $V_{t+1}$  is multiplied by discount factor  $\gamma$  and added by the value of reward at time *t*, and now we can estimate the value of  $V'_t$  of the current state  $s_t$ .

**Step 5.** We feed  $s_t$  (obtained at the first step) to the critic network and further acquire the value  $V_t$  of the current state

**Step 6.** We compute the square difference between  $V'_t$  and  $V_t$  and optimize parameter  $\theta^Q$  of the critic network by gradient descent



**Step 7.** We use  $Q(s = s_t, \mu(s_t)|\theta^Q)$  outputted by the critic network as the loss function, and adopt gradient descent means to guide the update of the actor network gives a higher score for the recommendation outputted by the actor network each time.

## **Reward Function**

CDBTune은 DBA's tuning process를 모방해 reward function을 구성했다. DBA's tuning process는 다음과 같다.

(1) DBMS의 초기 성능을  $D_0$ , DBMS의 최종 성능을  $D_n$ 이라고 한다.

(2) DBA가 knob을 tuning하고, 성능이  $D_1$ 이 되면, 성능변화값  $\Delta(D_1, D_0)$ 을 측정한다.

(3) Tuning이 항상 옳다는 것은 보장하지 못하기 때문에 i step에서  $\Delta(D_i, D_0)$  와  $\Delta(D_i, D_{i-1})$ 를 계산한다.

### **Reward Function**

#### Throughput

 $\Delta T = \begin{cases} \Delta T_{t \to 0} = \frac{T_t - T_0}{T_0} \\ \Delta T_{t \to t-1} = \frac{T_t - T_{t-1}}{T_{t-1}} \end{cases}$ 

#### Latency

$$\Delta \mathbf{L} = \begin{cases} \Delta \mathbf{L}_{t \to 0} = \frac{-L_t + L_0}{L_0} \\ \\ \Delta \mathbf{L}_{t \to t-1} = \frac{-L_t + L_{t-1}}{L_{t-1}} \end{cases}$$

#### **Reward of Throughput and Latency**

$$r = \begin{cases} \left( (1 + \Delta_{t \to 0})^2 - 1 \right) | 1 + \Delta_{t \to t-1} |, \ \Delta_{t \to 0} > 0 \\ - \left( (1 - \Delta_{t \to 0})^2 - 1 \right) | 1 - \Delta_{t \to t-1} |, \ \Delta_{t \to 0} \le 0 \end{cases}$$

**Final Reward** 

$$r = C_T \times r_T + C_L \times r_L$$
$$C_T + C_L = 1$$

# 4. Experiment

## Experiment

### ✓ 4 Comparison

- CDBTune
- > **BestConfig** : BestConfig: tapping the performance potential of systems via automatic configuration tuning
- > OtterTune : Automatic Database Management System Tuning Through Large-scale Machine Learning
- > **DBA** : 3 DBA experts who have been engaged in tuning and optimizing DBMS for 12 years in Tencent.

## **Experiment - Environment**

- ✓ Workload
  - ➢ 3 Benchmark tools:
    - Sysbench
    - MySQL-TPCH
    - TPC-MySQL
  - ➢ 6 Workload:
    - Read-only, write-only, and read-write workload of Sysbench
      - ✤ 16 tables of which each contains about 200K records (about 8.5 GB) / # of threads is 1500
    - TPC-H
      - ✤ 16 tables (about 16 GB)
    - TPC-C
      - ✤ 200 warehouses (about 12.8 GB) / # concurrent connections to 32
    - YCSB
      - ✤ 35 GB data using 50 threads and 20M operations

## **Experiment - Environment**

- ✓ DBA Data
  - OtterTune needs high quality data
  - DBA's experience data : Training data used on CDBTune = 1 : 20
- ✓ Setting
  - PyTorch and Python tools including scikit-learn library
  - Run on Tencent's cloud server (Offline Training)
    - 12-core 4.0 GHz CPU
    - 64 GB RAM
    - 200 GB disk

#### ✓ Expression

- > M\_{training condition}  $\rightarrow$  {tuning condition}
- ➢ Use 8 GB RAM training setting for 12 GB RAM online tuning: M\_8G → 12G
- ✓ Notes
  - ✓ Best result of recommendations of CDBTune and OtterTune
  - ✓ Give **50 steps** in the experiment to BestConfig for it restarts the search each time (a lot of time)
  - ✓ Use **priority experience replay** to improve offline training performance
  - ✓ Adopt **parallel computing** (30 servers) to reduce the offline training time

#### Online Tuning Instances

Table 1: Database instances and hardware configuration.

Instance	RAM (GB)	Disk (GB)
CDB-A	8	100
CDB-B	12	100
CDB-C	12	200
CDB-D	16	200
CDB-E	32	300
CDB-X1	(4, 12, 32, 64, 128)	100
CDB-X2	12	(32, 64, 100, 256, 512)

## **Experiment – Time Consuming**

- ✓ Offline training time (only for CDBTune)
  - ➤ 4.7 hours for 266 knobs
  - > 2.3 hours for 65 knobs
  - # of knobs does not affect the online tuning time
- $\checkmark\,$  Online tuning time
  - $\succ$  5 steps → 25 mins

Table 2: Detailed online tuning steps and time of CDBTune and other tools.

<b>Tuning Tools</b>	Total Steps	Time of One Step (mins)	Total Time (mins)
CDBTune	5	5	25
OtterTune	5	11	55
BestConfig	50	5	250
DBA	1	516	516

## **Experiment – Varying Tuning Steps**

- $\checkmark$  Accumulated trying steps
  - Fine-tune the standard model with limited steps
  - ➤ 5 step 간격
- ✓ CDBTune 은 step 수가 증가함에 따라서 성능이 좋아짐
- $\checkmark$  Better result in the first 5 steps in all cases
- $\checkmark$  OtterTune keeps stable because:
  - Supervised learning
  - Regression



Figure 5: Performance by increasing number of steps

## Experiment – # of knobs (ordered)

- ✓ Sort **266** tunable knobs (maximum number of knobs that DBA uses to tune for CDB)
- ✓ Both **DBA** and **OtterTune** rank the knobs based on their importance to the database performance
- ✓ CDBTune can achieve better performance in **all cases**
- ✓ DBA and OtterTune decrease after # of knobs exceed a certain number



Figure 6: Performance by increasing number of knobs (knobs sorted by DBA).



Figure 7: Performance by increasing number of knobs (knobs sorted by OtterTune).

## Experiment – # of knobs (random)

- ✓ Randomly selects different number of knobs
  - > 40 selected knobs must contain the 20 selected knobs from the precious one
- ✓ Performance is continuously improved while the number of knobs increasing
- $\checkmark\,$  Poor at the beginning
  - > A small number of selected knobs have a small impact on performance
- ✓ Stable at the end
  - Later knobs will not greatly affect the performance
- ✓ Use bellow techniques to accelerate the convergence:
  - ✓ Priority experience replay
  - ✓ Parallel computing
  - ✓ (GPU)



Figure 8: Performance by increasing number of knobs (knobs randomly selected by CDBTune).

## **Experiment – Difference workloads**

- ✓ CDBTune achieves higher performance than OtterTune, BestConfig, and DBA
- ✓ CDBTune > OtterTune > BestConfig → learning-based method is more effective
- ✓ OtterTune performs inferior to the DBA → Try-and-error samples instead of massive high-quality DBA's experience tuning data
  MySQL Default CDB Default
- ✓ BestConfig → Limitations of search-based algorithm
- ✓ Workload가 다름에 따라서 중요도가 높은 파라미터들이 스스로 튜닝이 된다
  - RW : innodb\_write\_io\_threds, innodb\_purge\_threads
  - RO : innodb\_read\_io\_threads
  - > WO : innodb\_write\_io\_threds, innodb\_purge\_threads
- ✓ A large negative reward (e.g., -100) if the instance crush during the tuning process

Table 3: Higher throughput (T) and lower latency (L) of CDBTune than BestConfig, DBA and OtterTune.

Workload	BestConfig		DBA		OtterTune	
	Т	L	Т	L	Т	L
RW	↑ 68.28%	↓ 51.65%	14.48% ↑	↓ 8.91%	1 29.80%	↓ 35.51%
RO	↑ 42.15%	↓ 43.95%	↑ 4.73%	↓ 11.66%	<b>^44.46</b> %	123.63%
WO	↑ 128.66%	↓ 61.35%	<b>↑ 46.57%</b>	↓ 43.33%	191.25% ♦	J 59.27%



## **Experiment – Adaptability on Memory Size and Disk Capacity change**

- ✓ Memory size and disk capacity are the most two properties that users prefer to adjust
- ✔ CDB-A, CDB-X1, CDB-C, CDB-X2 를 사용하여 test
  - > M\_A  $\rightarrow$  X1 (cross testing)
  - > M\_X1  $\rightarrow$  X1 (normal testing)
- ✓ Strong adaptability in memory size and disk capacity



Figure 10: Performance comparison for Sysbench WO workload when applying the model trained on 8G memory to (X)G memory hardware environment.

Table 1: Database instances and hardware configuration.

Instance	RAM (GB)	Disk (GB)		
CDB-A	8	100		
CDB-B	12	100		
CDB-C	12	200		
CDB-D	16	200		
CDB-E	32	300		
CDB-X1	(4, 12, 32, 64, 128)	100		
CDB-X2	12	(32, 64, 100, 256, 512)		



Figure 11: Performance comparison for Sysbench RO workload when applying the model trained on 200G disk to (X)G disk hardware environment.

## **Experiment – Adaptability on workload change**

- ✓ CDB-C instance 사용
  - > M\_RW  $\rightarrow$  TPC-C (cross testing)
  - > M\_TPC-C  $\rightarrow$  TPC-C (normal testing)
- ✓ Strong adaptability in workload



Figure 12: Performance comparison when applying the model trained on Sysbench RW workloads to TPC-C.

## **Experiment – Summary**

### ✓ CDBTune

- ✓ Limited training data
- $\checkmark$  Strong adaptability in environment and data changes
- ✓ RL → simulate human brain, learn towards an optimizing direction

# 5. GitHub

## GitHub - 실습



<sup>11.</sup> Enter the command on CDBTune2: netstat -an | grep 20000 to see if the startup is successful.

#!/usr/bin/env bash

Github:

https://github.com/HustAlsGroup/CDBTune

47

<sup>12.</sup> Write a start\_train.sh script in CDBTune1's /home/cheng/AutoTuner/tuner based on CDBTune2's start\_server.sh script. The content is as follows:

## GitHub

- 6개의 Mysql knobs tuning
  - Knobs' name
  - Knobs default values
    - [min, max, default]

#### CDBTune/environment/knobs.py

```
57
       KNOB DETAILS = {
           ###'skip name resolve': ['enum', ['OFF', 'ON']],
58
           'table open cache': ['integer', [1, 10240, 512]],
59
60
           #'max connections': ['integer', [1100, 100000, 80000]],
61
           'innodb buffer pool size': ['integer', [1048576, memory size, memory size]],
62
           'innodb buffer pool instances': ['integer', [1, 64, 8]],
63
           #1
64
           #'innodb log files in group': ['integer', [2, 100, 2]],
65
           #1
66
           #'innodb log file size': ['integer', [134217728, 5497558138, 15569256448]],
67
           'innodb purge threads': ['integer', [1, 32, 1]],
68
           'innodb read io threads': ['integer', [1, 64, 12]],
69
           'innodb write io threads': ['integer', [1, 64, 12]],
70
           #3
71
           #'max binlog cache size': ['integer', [4096, 4294967296, 18446744073709547520]],
           #'binlog cache size': ['integer', [4096, 4294967296, 18446744073709547520]],
72
           #'max binlog size': ['integer', [4096, 1073741824, 1073741824]],
73
74
```

## GitHub – train.py

- Initial external metrics:
  - Throughput: 2390.496
  - Latency: 4.201
  - Query Per Second: 38247.985
- Action:
  - 6개의 파라미터 값 선정
  - 0~1 사이로 통일
    - 예: knob 범위가 [1, 32], action 값이 0.5일 때 knob 값은 12.

49

1	2021-03-23 18:08:53[INFO]
2	[Env initialized][Metric tps: 2390.496 lat: 4.201 qps: 38247.985]
3	2021-03-23 18:08:53[INFO] [ddpg] Action: [1. 0.9482131 1. 0.35114706 1. 1.
	]
4	2021-03-23 18:11:45[INFO]
5	[ddpg][Episode: 0][Step: 0][Metric tps:2439.638 lat:4.162 qps:39034.814]Reward: 28252.2021048 Score
	: 0.0282522021048 Done: False
6	2021-03-23 18:11:45[INFO] [ddpg][Episode: 0][Step: 0] step: 172.337084055s env step: 172.312063217s
	train step: 0.0s restart time: 12.2471969128s action time: 0.0193700790405s
7	2021-03-23 18:11:45[INFO] [ddpg][Episode: 0][Step: 0][Average] step: 172.337084055s env step: 172.3
	12063217s train step: 0.0s restart time: 12.2471969128s action time: 0.0193700790405s
8	2021-03-23 18:11:45[INFO] [ddpg] Action: [1. 0.994705 1. 0.22277299 1. 0.
	8029696 ]
9	2021-03-23 18:14:38[INFO]
10	<pre>[ddpg][Episode: 0][Step: 1][Metric tps:2263.179 lat:4.371 qps:36210.665]Reward: -0.123867732922 Sco</pre>
	re: -0.0956155308177 Done: False
11	2021-03-23 18:14:38[INFO] [ddpg][Episode: 0][Step: 1] step: 172.318767786s env step: 172.313977003s
	train step: 0.0s restart time: 12.2493751049s action time: 0.000741004943848s
12	2021-03-23 18:14:38[INFO] [ddpg][Episode: 0][Step: 1][Average] step: 172.318767786s env step: 172.3
	13977003s train step: 0.0s restart time: 12.2493751049s action time: 0.0100555419922s
13	2021-03-23 18:14:38[INFO] [ddpg] Action: [1. 0.6609533 0.99044865 0.47771877 1. 0.
	5932251 ]
14	2021-03-23 18:17:30[INFO]

## GitHub – evaluate.py

### Throughput 및 Latency 향상정도



- 훈련과정
  - train.py  $\rightarrow$  3<sup>7</sup> episode
  - evaluate.py → max\_step은 5

- Initial external metrics:
  - Throughput: **1839.634**
  - Latency: 5.521
  - Query Per Second: 29434.192
- DDPG result:
  - Throughput: 1965.819
  - Latency: **5.056**
  - Query Per Second: 31453.148

bash /home/jinhuijun/CDBTu	ne/scripts/run_s	ysbench.sh r	read 10.1	78.0.6 3306 1	123456 1	50 /home	/jinhuijun/CDI
Tune/train_result/tmp/1616	552929.txt						
********	***						
[1739.954000000002, 5.671	, 27839.818]						
[1839.634, 5.520999999999999	99, 29434.192000	000003]					
[3207.051, 3.22, 3207.051]							
********	***						
\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$							
-0.162223095135							
-0.0969989966205							
-0.123088636026							
\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$							
Performance remained!							
Testin	g Finished						
Knobs are saved at: test k	nob/eval ddpg 16	16552055.pkl	L				
Proposal Knob At 0							
jinhuijun@cdbtune-1:~/CDBT	une/tuner\$ ls						
evaluate.py log	read_pickle.py	save_memory		start_train.	sh tra:	in.log	utils.py
initpy model_params	save_knobs	save_state	actions	test_knob	tra	in.py	utils.pyc
jinhuijun@cdbtune-1:~/CDBT	une/tuner\$ vim r	ead_pickle.p	ρ¥				
jinhuijun@cdbtune-1:~/CDBT	une/tuner\$ pytho	n read pickl	le.py				
{'metrics': [1965.81900000	00002, 5.0559999	999999999, 31	1453.1479	999999997], ']	lat_dec'	8.4223	87248686832,
<pre>knob': {'innodb_buffer_pool</pre>	l_size': 1844324	096, 'innodk	_read_io	threads': 55	5, 'inno	db_buffe	r_pool_instanc
es': 54, 'innodb_purge_thre	eads': 6, 'innod	b_write_io_t	threads':	36, 'table_d	pen_cacl	ne': 276	5}, 'tps_inc':
6.859244828047328}						5	J
jinhuijun@cdbtune-1:~/CDBT	une/tuner\$						

# Q & A

## Appendix - 1

[ Model-Free Algorithm 한장 요약]





- ✓ Model-Free Learning은 Environment에 대해 모르며 Action에 따른 Next State와 Next Reward를 수동적으로 받음
- ✓ Environment를 모르므로 Exploration(탐험)을 통한 Trial and Error로 Policy Function을 점차 학습시켜야 함
- ✓ 이러한 과정을 통해 Expected sum of future reward를 최대로 하는 Policy Function을 구하고자 함

# Thanks!